1 2		
3 4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	
10	COUNTY OF A	LAMEDA
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Lead Case No. RG 19-029736
12	a non-profit corporation,	[Consolidated with Case No. RG 19-034870]
13	Plaintiff,	034870]
14	V.	[PROPOSED] AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	BALI LEATHERS, INC., et al.,	SUDGMENT
16	Defendants.	
17		Actions Filed: August 2, 2010 (BC 10
18		Actions Filed: August 2, 2019 (RG 19-029736); September 12, 2019 (RG 19-
19		034870)
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
RED		

This Amended Consent Judgment supersedes the original Consent Judgment entered in these consolidated cases on February 21, 2024, and is entered by the Court pursuant to the Order Approving Opt-In Procedure and Future Amendment of Consent Judgment, filed and entered on February 21, 2024. This Amended Consent Judgment reflects the addition of parties as Opt-In Settling Defendants.

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Parties to this Amended Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and each of the Defendants listed on Exhibit A ("Settling Defendants"). CEH and each Settling Defendant are referred to herein together as the "Parties" or singly as a "Party."
- 1.2 The Parties enter into this Amended Consent Judgment without a trial. Nothing in this Amended Consent Judgment constitutes an admission by any Party regarding any issue of law or fact. This Amended Consent Judgment sets forth the agreement and obligations of Settling Defendants and CEH and, except as specifically provided below, it constitutes the complete, final, and exclusive agreement among the Parties and supersedes any prior agreements among the Parties.

2. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND, JURISDICTION, AND PURPOSE

- 2.1 Commencing on April 15, 2019, CEH issued a series of 60-day Notices of Violation under Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq*. ("Proposition 65") to each of the Settling Defendants, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, and the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, alleging that Settling Defendants violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to CrVI (defined in Section 3.4 below) from various types of gloves made with leather materials without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 2.2 Commencing on July 2, 2019, CEH issued a series of 60-day Notices of Violation under Proposition 65 to each of the Settling Defendants, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, and the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, alleging that Settling Defendants violated Proposition 65

by exposing persons to CrVI from footwear made with leather materials without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

- 2.3 On August 2, 2019, CEH filed the original Complaint in the above-captioned *CEH v. Bali* matter. On May 19, 2022, CEH filed the operative First Amended Complaint in the *CEH v. Bali* matter (the "*Bali* Complaint"). On September 12, 2019, CEH filed the original Complaint in the above-captioned *CEH v. Tommy Bahama* matter, which was subsequently amended. On May 19, 2022, CEH filed the operative Third Amended Complaint in the *CEH v. Tommy Bahama* matter (the "*Tommy Bahama* Complaint"). The *Bali* Complaint and the *Tommy Bahama* Complaint are together referred to herein as the "Complaints." The *CEH v. Bali* and *CEH v. Tommy Bahama* consolidated matters are referred to herein as the "Actions."
- 2.4 Each Settling Defendant is a business entity that is also a person in the course of doing business as such term is defined under Proposition 65.
- 2.5 For purposes of this Amended Consent Judgment only, CEH and the Settling Defendants stipulate that: (a) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaints; (b) this Court has personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in those Complaints, (c) venue is proper in Alameda County; and (d) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Amended Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaints based on the facts alleged therein.
- 2.6 Settling Defendants and CEH agree not to challenge or object to entry of this Amended Consent Judgment by the Court. The Parties agree not to challenge this Court's jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Judgment once it has been entered, and agree that this Court maintains jurisdiction over this Judgment for that purpose, unless the Amended Consent Judgment is terminated.
- 2.7 By execution of this Amended Consent Judgment and agreeing to provide the relief and remedies specified herein, Settling Defendants do not admit any violations of Proposition 65 or any other law or legal duty. Each Settling Defendant expressly denies any liability for any of the claims asserted and the facts alleged in the Complaints and the CEH Notices of Violation. Nothing in this Amended Consent Judgment is intended to be an admission

of any issue of law or fact. This Amended Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for the purpose of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in the Actions.

3. **DEFINITIONS**

- 3.1 A "Certified Tannery" is a leather tannery that (a) is certified to produce Chrome-Tanned Leather pursuant to the Reformulation Protocol and provides a certification substantially in the form set forth on Exhibit B or (b) provides a certification demonstrating that the tannery has achieved certification with overall Gold rating under the Leather Working Group (LWG) Audit Protocol P7.2.2 (or any subsequent higher version that is in force at the time of certification), or has attained a Gold medal rating in the section "Restricted Substances, Compliance & Chromium VI Management" (or any subsequent section or sections regarding CrVI management) ("LWG Certification").
- 3.2 "Chrome-Tanned Leather" means leather, other than Exotic Leather, tanned with chromium compounds.
 - 3.3 "Covered Products" means:
- 3.3.1 Footwear for which normal and foreseeable use will result in one or more Chrome-Tanned Leather components coming into direct contact with the skin of the average user's foot or leg while the footwear is worn (*e.g.*, a Chrome-Tanned Leather insole, tongue, liner, unlined upper, or strap);
- 3.3.2 Gloves for which normal and foreseeable use will result in one or more Chrome-Tanned Leather components coming into direct contact with the skin of the average user's hand while the gloves are worn (*e.g.*, an unlined glove, or one that is lined with Chrome-Tanned Leather);
- 3.3.3 The definition of Covered Products as applied to each specific Settling Defendant may be further modified as set forth on Exhibit A for that Settling Defendant (*e.g.*, the specific type or category of leather glove covered by the injunctive terms of this Amended Consent Judgment for a particular Settling Defendant).

3.16 "Supplier" means an entity from which a Settling Defendant purchases or acquires Covered Products or Chrome-Tanned Leather components used to manufacture Covered Products.

4. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 4.1 Chromium exists in different valence states. One of those states is CrVI and another is trivalent chromium, which is also known as CrIII. Neither elemental chromium nor CrIII is a listed chemical under Proposition 65.
- 4.2 Chromium tanning is a process of preserving hides that uses CrIII compounds. CrVI is not intentionally added to leather in the tanning process.
- 4.3 The valence state of chromium is unstable in nature. For example, CrIII will under certain environmental conditions oxidize into CrVI. Likewise, CrVI will under certain environmental conditions reduce into CrIII.
- 4.4 The process by which CrIII turns into CrVI is called oxidation. Certain chemicals called antioxidants prevent or inhibit the oxidation process of chromium. Antioxidants can thus prevent the formation of CrVI in or on the surface of the leather.
- 4.5 Environmental conditions that affect the oxidation and reduction of chromium between CrIII and CrVI include temperature, humidity, and pH.
- 4.6 The Reformulation Protocol requires tanneries to take steps to minimize the potential introduction of CrVI to leather during the tanning process for Chrome-Tanned Leather and to use antioxidants that are baked into the hides during the tanning process. If a tannery follows the Reformulation Protocol, the antioxidants will prevent or inhibit the oxidation process such that there will not likely be detectable CrVI on the surface of the leather.

5. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

5.1 Notice to Suppliers.

5.1.1 To the extent any Settling Defendant has not already done so, no more than sixty (60) days after the Effective Date, each Settling Defendant shall provide notice to each of its current Suppliers that all Chrome-Tanned Leather used to manufacture Skin Contact Components of Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by the Settling Defendant must be

Reformulated Leather. The notice shall request that (a) any Supplier of Chrome-Tanned Leather that is a tannery used to manufacture Skin Contact Components provide to the Settling Defendant either (i) a certification in the form of Exhibit B, or (ii) an LWG Certification; (b) any Supplier of Chrome-Tanned Leather or finished product that is not a tannery obtain from its supplier(s) of Chrome-Tanned Leather used to manufacture Skin Contact Components of Covered Products either (i) a certification in the form of Exhibit B, or (ii) an LWG Certification; and (c) all Suppliers retain certifications for Chrome-Tanned Leather for a period of at least five (5) years and, to the extent not already provided, produce them upon written request of the Settling Defendant.

- 5.1.2 Prior to or coincident with ordering any Skin Contact Components or Covered Products from a new Supplier or a Supplier who has not received a notice from the Settling Defendant under Section 5.1.1 within five (5) years of the date of such order, a Settling Defendant shall provide a notice to such Supplier, consistent with Section 5.1.1.
- 5.1.3 Any written notice sent pursuant to this Section shall include the written Tannery Certification and Reformulation Protocol set forth in Exhibits B and C. The written notice attached hereto as Exhibit D is deemed to comply with the requirements of this Section.

5.2 **Reformulation.**

5.2.1 Phased Compliance Timeline.

5.2.1.1 After the Initial Compliance Date, each Settling Defendant shall ensure that all of the Chrome-Tanned Leather used to manufacture Skin Contact Components of at least fifty percent (50%) of Covered Products purchased or manufactured by Settling Defendant that a Settling Defendant knows or has reason to believe may be sold or offered for sale in California by Settling Defendant or any entity downstream of Settling Defendant is Reformulated Leather.

5.2.1.2 After the Interim Compliance Date, each Settling Defendant shall ensure that all of the Chrome-Tanned Leather used to manufacture Skin Contact Components of at least seventy-five percent (75%) of Covered Products purchased or manufactured by Settling Defendant that a Settling Defendant knows or has reason to believe may

5.3.1.2 the cost of Chrome-Tanned Leather and resulting increase in manufacturers' prices resulting from the use of leather from Certified Tanneries, which factor includes the geographic proximity of the factory producing the Covered Product and any Certified Tannery that can produce the leather used in the Covered Product; and

5.3.1.3 the availability, cost, and performance and aesthetic characteristics of non-Chrome-Tanned Leather that could substitute for Chrome-Tanned Leather in Skin Contact Components of Covered Products;

5.3.2 No Settling Defendant may sell a Covered Product that such Settling Defendant knows or has reason to believe may be sold or offered for sale in California by Settling Defendant or any entity downstream of Settling Defendant for which it has made a determination that is not "feasible" to obtain Skin Contact Components from a Certified Tannery unless such Covered Product is labeled with a Clear and Reasonable Warning.

5.3.2.1 A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Amended Consent Judgment shall state:



WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including hexavalent chromium, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print and shall be preceded by the yellow warning triangle symbol depicted above, provided however, the symbol may be printed in black and white if the Covered Product label is produced without using the color yellow. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging or tag of the Covered Product and shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs, as to render it likely to be seen, read, and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. Where a sign or label used to provide a warning includes consumer information about a product in a language other than English, the warning shall also be provided in that language in addition to English.

5.3.2.2 For online and catalog sales, any Settling Defendant that provides warnings pursuant to this Section shall (i) ensure that Clear and Reasonable Warnings under Section 5.3.2 are provided for Covered Products that the Settling Defendant sells online to consumers in California, and (ii) provide the warning language required in Section 5.3.2.1 to any customers whom it knows or has reason to believe are offering the Settling Defendants' Covered Products for which a warning is required for sale online to consumers in California. Settling Defendants shall also revise any product catalogs printed after the Final Compliance Date to include the warning language required in Section 5.3.2.1 for each Covered Product identified in the catalog that requires a Clear and Reasonable Warning pursuant to this Section. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

- 5.3.3 Any Settling Defendant that provides a warning pursuant to the feasibility option of this Section shall provide a detailed written report to CEH within forty-five (45) days of the end of each calendar year regarding the use of the feasibility warnings, the units covered, and the specific factual basis for the feasibility finding. This reporting obligation shall terminate five (5) years after the Effective Date.
- 5.3.4 No Settling Defendant may make use of the feasibility warnings set forth in this Section on more than the Allowed Warning Percentage of the styles of Covered Products shipped to California or to customers which the Settling Defendant knows or has reason to believe will offer for sale to customers in California in any particular year. The "Allowed Warning Percentage" shall be thirty-three percent (33%) in the first and second years after the Final Compliance Date, seventeen percent (17%) in the third year after the Final Compliance Date, and five percent (5%) thereafter.
- 5.4 **Document Retention Requirements.** All certifications, Supplier notifications, feasibility documents, and other documents referenced in this Section 5 shall be retained by each Settling Defendant for four (4) years from the date of creation and made available to CEH upon

written request not more than once per calendar year, commencing on the Final Compliance Date until the seventh (7th) anniversary of the Effective Date.

6. ENFORCEMENT

6.1 **Enforcement Procedures**. Any Party or any of the public entities identified in Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(c) (collectively, "Enforcers") may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment. Prior to filing any such motion or application, the Enforcer(s) shall provide the allegedly violating Party with a written notice setting forth the detailed factual and legal basis for the alleged violation along with any evidentiary support for the alleged violation ("Notice of Violation"). The Enforcer(s) and the allegedly violating Party shall then meet and confer during the thirty (30) day period following the date the Notice of Violation was sent in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure, penalty, or related attorneys' fees related to the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Enforcer(s) may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Nothing in this Section 6.1 shall impact the Court's authority in an enforcement proceeding to impose appropriate remedies, including the provision of a clear and reasonable warning.

6.2 Notice of Violation Regarding Failure to Comply with Section 5.2.

- 6.2.1 If an Enforcer serves a Notice of Violation that alleges a violation of the reformulation requirements set forth in Section 5.2, it shall identify the Covered Product and the Skin Contact Components that the Enforcer contends were not produced by a Certified Tannery pursuant to the Reformulation Protocol, along with the evidentiary support for such claim.
- 6.2.2 A Settling Defendant shall serve its response to a Notice of Violation served under Section 6.2.1 within thirty (30) days of receipt of the Notice, unless extended by agreement. The response shall include any certification and documentation sufficient to demonstrate that the Skin Contact Components of the Covered Product that were the subject of the Notice of Violation were produced by a Certified Tannery.

1	6.2.2.1 If the Settling Defendant's response demonstrates that: (a) the				
2	Skin Contact Components identified in the Notice were produced by a tannery that was a				
3	Certified Tannery at the time of production; or (b) the Notice of Violation identifies the same				
4	Covered Product or Covered Products differing only in size that have been the subject of another				
5	Notice of Violation within the preceding twelve (12) months, the Enforcer shall take no further				
6	action. If the Enforcer contends that the Settling Defendant's response does not satisfy the				
7	provisions of this Section, the Enforcer shall within thirty (30) days of receipt of Defendant's				
8	response notify the Settling Defendant of the basis for its contention, the Notice shall be deemed				
9	contested, and the Parties shall proceed under Section 6.2.4.				
10	6.2.2.2 If the Settling Defendant does not serve a response within thirty				
11	(30) days of receipt of the Notice, it shall be deemed to contest the Notice and the Parties shall				
12	proceed under Section 6.2.4.				
13	6.2.3 If the Settling Defendant elects not to contest a Notice of Violation served				
14	under Section 6.2.1, the Settling Defendant shall do the following:				
15	6.2.3.1 For the first Notice of Violation served on a particular Setting				
16	Defendant, within fourteen (14) days after serving its response to the Notice of Violation, the				
17	Settling Defendant shall take corrective action consisting of: (a) providing the Enforcer with				
18	documentation sufficient to determine the certification status of Covered Products sold for the				
19	two (2) years prior to the date of the Notice of Violation; and (b) pay the Enforcer \$5,000 as				
20	reimbursement of fees, costs, and expenses involved in investigating and producing the Notice of				
21	Violation and reviewing and monitoring compliance by such Settling Defendant in the future.				
22	6.2.3.2 For Notices of Violation served on a particular Settling				
23	Defendant after the first uncontested Notice of Violation, within ninety (90) days after serving its				
24	response to the Notice of Violation, the Settling Defendant shall either:				
25	(a) withdraw the Covered Product from sale in California and				
26	direct customers to withdraw the Covered Product from sale in California; or				
27					

(b) provide a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Section

5.3.2 for Covered Products sold by the Settling Defendant in California and instruct any

customers to provide such warning.

No later than fourteen (14) days after serving its response to the Notice of Violation, the Settling

Defendant shall pay the Enforcer \$10,000 as reimbursement of fees, costs, and expenses involved

in investigating and producing the Notice of Violation and reviewing and monitoring compliance

by such Settling Defendant in the future.

6.2.4 If any dispute arises relating to the sufficiency of any information provided by an Enforcer or a Settling Defendant pursuant to this Section 6.2, or if the Settling Defendant elects to contest a Notice of Violation, the Parties shall meet and confer as required by Section 6.1 before filing any motion, application, or request for an order with the court. A Settling Defendant may at any time during the meet and confer process and prior to the Enforcer filing any motion, application, or request for an order with the court, notify the Enforcer that the Settling Defendant no longer contests the Notice and that the Settling Defendant elects to proceed pursuant to Section 6.2.3.

7. PAYMENTS

- 7.1 **Payments by Initial Settling Defendants**. On or before ten (10) business days after notice of the entry of the original Consent Judgment and receipt of Forms W-9 for all payees, each Initial Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum set forth on Exhibit A for that Initial Settling Defendant as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 7.2 **Payments by Opt-In Settling Defendants**. Within ninety (90) days after notice of the entry of the original Consent Judgment, each Opt-In Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum set forth on Exhibit A for that Opt-In Settling Defendant as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 7.3 **Allocation of Payments**. For Initial Settling Defendants, the total settlement amount shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified for each Initial Settling Defendant on Exhibit A and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by an Initial Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

paid by such Initial Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not
received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 7.1. The late fees required
under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an
enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 6 of this Amended Consent Judgment. For
Opt-In Settling Defendants, the total settlement amount shall be paid in a single check in the total
amount specified for each Opt-In Settling Defendant on Exhibit A, made payable to Lexington
Law Group, LLP IOLTA and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-6001385, and
delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117. The single
payment from each Opt-In Settling Defendant will thereafter be allocated as between civil
penalty, Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP"), and attorneys' fees and costs as specified on
Exhibit A and delivered by Counsel for CEH to the entities set forth below. In addition, for any
Opt-In Settling Defendant that has not yet paid the initial appearance fee required by Government
Code §§70612, 70602.5, and 70602.6 in each Action in which judgment will be entered against it
the single payment from that Opt-In Settling Defendant shall include \$435 per Action to cover
this fee. The funds paid by Settling Defendants shall be allocated as set forth below between the
following categories and made payable as follows:

- 7.4 Each Settling Defendant shall pay the civil penalty amounts set forth in Exhibit A for that Settling Defendant as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25249.12 (i.e., 25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")).
- 7.4.1 Each Initial Settling Defendant shall pay the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment set forth in Exhibit A for that Initial Settling Defendant by check made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:

26

24

25

For United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics

27

Fiscal Operations Branch Chief

28

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
1001 I Street, MS #19B
Sacramento, CA 95814

Each Initial Settling Defendant shall pay the CEH portion of the civil penalty payment set forth in Exhibit A for that Initial Settling Defendant by check made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

- 7.4.2 For each Opt-In Settling Defendant, the single settlement payment shall be apportioned as set forth in Exhibit A for that Opt-In Settling Defendant and the civil penalty portion shall be delivered by Counsel for CEH to OEHHA and CEH.
- 7.5 Each Settling Defendant shall pay the amount set forth in Exhibit A for that Settling Defendant as an ASP to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §3204. CEH will use these funds to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about toxic chemicals, including hormone disruptors such as hexavalent chromium, work with industries interested in moving toward safer alternatives, advocate with government, businesses, and communities for business practices that are safe for human health and the environment, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to hexavalent chromium and other toxic chemicals in consumer products sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General.
- 7.5.1 For each Initial Settling Defendant, the payments pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer

identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

- 7.5.2 For each Opt-In Settling Defendant, the single settlement payment shall be apportioned as set forth in Exhibit A for that Opt-In Settling Defendant and the ASP portion shall be delivered by Counsel for CEH to CEH.
- 7.6 Each Settling Defendant shall pay the amount set forth in Exhibit A for that Settling Defendant as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including but not limited to expert and investigative costs).
- 7.6.1 For each Initial Settling Defendant, the attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A for that Settling Defendant as follows: (a) a check payable to the Lexington Law Group, LLP and associated with taxpayer identification number 88-4399775; and (b) a check payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
- 7.6.2 For each Opt-In Settling Defendant, the single settlement payment shall be apportioned as set forth in Exhibit A for that Opt-In Settling Defendant and the CEH attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement portion shall be delivered by Counsel for CEH to CEH.
- 7.7 For any Opt-In Settling Defendant that owes an initial appearance fee in an Action, the single settlement payment shall be apportioned as set forth in Exhibit A for that Opt-In Settling Defendant and the appearance fee portion shall be delivered by Counsel for CEH to the Court.

8. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT AND TERMINATION OF INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

8.1 **Modification**. This Amended Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties to which any such modification would apply, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

- 8.2 **Force Majeure**. The inability of a Settling Defendant to comply with any deadline set forth in this Amended Consent Judgment due to an act of terrorism, fire, earthquake, civil disorders, war, or act of God that is beyond the reasonable control of such Settling Defendant shall be grounds to move for modification of the deadlines set forth in this Amended Consent Judgment.
- 8.3 **Most Favored Nations Provision.** If, after the Effective Date, a court enters judgment in the Actions or another Proposition 65 enforcement action brought by CEH over exposure to CrVI in Covered Products that imposes different injunctive relief from that set forth in this Amended Consent Judgment, a Settling Defendant may seek to modify Section 5 of this Amended Consent Judgment to conform with the injunctive relief provided in such later judgment.

8.4 Termination of Injunctive Relief.

- 8.4.1 If, after the Effective Date, a court enters judgment in the Actions or another Proposition 65 enforcement action brought by CEH over exposure to CrVI in leather gloves or footwear that denies a request for injunctive relief on the grounds that (a) CEH has not shown an exposure to CrVI from Chrome-Tanned Leather, or (b) the defendant has demonstrated that any exposure to CrVI from Chrome-Tanned Leather is exempt from the Proposition 65 warning requirement under Health & Safety Code §25249.10(c), a Settling Defendant may seek to terminate the injunctive relief in Section 5 of this Amended Consent Judgment as to that Settling Defendant.
- 8.4.2 Commencing on the fifth (5th) anniversary of the Effective Date and upon the provision of thirty (30) days advanced written notice to CEH and the Court, a Settling Defendant may terminate the injunctive relief in Section 5 of this Amended Consent Judgment as to that Settling Defendant. Upon any such termination, the provisions of Section 9.3 shall no longer apply to such Settling Defendant.
- 8.5 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Amended Consent Judgment or terminate it pursuant to Section 8.4.1 shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Amended Consent Judgment.

9. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

9.1 The P	arties enter into this Amended Consent Judgment as a full and final
settlement of all claim	ms arising under Proposition 65 relating to alleged exposure to CrVI from
footwear and/or glov	es made with Chrome-Tanned Leather components as further specified on
Exhibit A for each S	ettling Defendant ("Released Products"), and as to all claims pursuant to
Health and Safety Co	ode §25249.7(d) that were raised or could have been raised in the CEH 60-
Day Notices or Com	plaints, arising from the failure to warn under Proposition 65 regarding the
presence of CrVI in	such Released Products. Provided that a Settling Defendant has complied
with Section 7 hereo	f, this Amended Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution
between CEH on bel	nalf of itself and the public interest and such Settling Defendant and its
parents, subsidiaries,	affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers,
employees, agents, s	hareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and
all entities to which	such Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Released
Products, including b	out not limited to its distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers,
franchisees, licensors	s, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of
Proposition 65 based	on failure to warn about alleged exposure to CrVI contained in Released
Products that were m	nanufactured, distributed, sold, or offered for sale by a Settling Defendant
prior to the Final Co	mpliance Date.
9.2 Provi	ded that a Settling Defendant has complied with Section 7 hereof, CEH, for

- 9.2 Provided that a Settling Defendant has complied with Section 7 hereof, CEH, for itself and its agents, successors, and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against such Settling Defendant, its Defendant Releasees, and its Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH regarding the failure to warn about exposure to CrVI arising in connection with Released Products manufactured, distributed, sold, or offered for sale by such Settling Defendant prior to the Final Compliance Date.
- 9.3 Provided that a Settling Defendant has complied with Section 7 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Amended Consent Judgment by such Settling Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by such Settling Defendant, its Defendant Releasees,

1	and its Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about CrVI		
2	in Released Products manufactured, distributed, sold, or offered for sale by such Settling		
3	Defendant after the Final Compliance Date, except as to any retailer who fails to provide warning		
4	provided to said retailer pursuant to this Amended Consent Judgment in a manner consistent with		
5	the requirements of this Amended Consent Judgment.		
6	10. PROVISION OF NOTICE		
7		10.1	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Amended Consent
8	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class or electronic mail to:		
9			Joseph Mann
10			Lexington Law Group, LLP 503 Divisadero Street
11			San Francisco, CA 94117 jmann@lexlawgroup.com
12			Jinami@icxiawgioup.com
13		10.2	When a Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Amended
14	Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class or electronic mail to the address listed or		
15	Exhibit A for such Settling Defendant.		
16		10.3	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by
17	sending the other Party notice by first class or electronic mail.		
18	11.	COU	RT APPROVAL
19		11.1	This Amended Consent Judgment shall become effective when approved by the
20	Court	. If this	Amended Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no further
21	force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for		
22	any purpose.		
23	12.	GOV	ERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION
24		12.1	The terms of this Amended Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the
25	State	of Calif	fornia.
26	13.	ATT(DRNEYS' FEES
27		13.1	Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or
28	other proceeding related to this Amended Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its		

reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application from the Settling Defendant(s) subject to or opposing said motion, application, or other proceeding. Should a Settling Defendant prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding related to this Amended Consent Judgment, the Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion, application, or other proceeding upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion, application, or other proceeding lacked substantial justification.

13.2 Nothing in this Section 13 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

14.1 This Amended Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Amended Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Amended Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

15. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

15.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Amended Consent Judgment.

ON RECYCLED PAPER

16. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

16.1 This Amended Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and each Settling Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

17. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

17.1 Each signatory to this Amended Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Amended Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Amended Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

18. EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

- 18.1 Nothing in this Amended Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not a Settling Defendant on terms that are different from those contained in this Amended Consent Judgment.
- 18.2 The entry and approval of this Amended Consent Judgment shall be deemed a "Reformulation Event" as such term is used in previous Consent Judgments entered by this Court in these Actions.

19. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

19.1 The stipulations to this Amended Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

1	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
2	TI IS SO CHEETED!	
3	Dated:	
4		Judge of the Superior Court of California
5		
6		
7	IT IS SO STIDIU ATED.	
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9		
10		
11	Dated:	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
12		
13		Signature
14		Signature
15		Printed Name
16		Finited Name
17		m'd
18		Title
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		22

1	Dated:	PAGE FOR EACH SETTLING
2		[PAGE FOR EACH SETTLING DEFENDANT]
3		Signature
4		
5		Printed Name
6		
7		Title
8		THE
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		23
- 11		14

EXHIBIT A Individual Settling Defendant Information DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

PEK

Settling Defendant: Settling Defendant Name Contact Information: Counsel Contact Information Firm Address City State Email Payment Amounts: Payment total: \$xxxxxx Allocation of Total Payment:

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$	OEHHA per Section 4.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fees	\$	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fees and Costs	\$	LLG

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

EXHIBIT B Tannery Certification DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER -26-

EXHIBIT C Reformulation Protocols DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT – LEAD CASE NO. RG 19-034870

EXHIBIT D Form of Notice to Suppliers DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER